



WHITE LONDON IS NO MORE!

COCKNEYS are becoming an endangered species in what was their last East End stronghold.

More than half the white population has abandoned the borough of Newham in the last 15 years alone.

Seventy thousand immigrants have moved in during the same period. Once almost all white working class, the area in the shadow of London's Olympic Park now has the lowest percentage of white British residents of anywhere in London.

And it is the most multicultural place in the whole of the UK. According to a BBC documentary "Last Whites of the East End", 73 per cent of the local population is now made up of black 'British' and ethnic minorities.

Meanwhile Cockneys, who moved in during the 1800's as dockworkers from the eastern edge of the City, are resettling in places like Essex.

As one of many examples they include young mum Leanne Oakham, who is a sixth generation Cockney and currently lives on the same street in Newham as mum Debbie and her sister Amy.



1967

But she plans to move to Rayleigh, Essex, telling filmmakers: "It's not like the old East End where everyone knew everyone and we all left our doors open. It's just scary now. Years ago people would have a fight with their fists and that would be it. Not anymore. Now people will bring in knives."

Sister Amy predicts Leanne's move will see them all leave the area. She said: "If I move then my mum will follow, and if my mum moves my Nan will follow. That will be another local family up and gone to Essex."

Peter Bell runs the East Ham Working Men's Club which has become the last bastion of Cockney culture, and is struggling to keep going as his 'regulars' disappear.

**JUST ONE REPORT FROM ONE PART OF A CITY
ONCE CALLED LONDON!**

Since the Romans founded Londinium over 2000 years ago, it has grown over the centuries into becoming the White beating heart of the Western World - indeed most of the world -until our now disappeared Empire vanished through Labour treachery.

What should we now call this place which can only give comfort to illegal Immigrants; Romany sleeping in shop doorways in Mayfair. Statues of Communist terrorist Black criminal - Nelson Mandela now grace the places where Drake, Raleigh, Dickens and Wellington once walked?

The multi-racialist traitors have given over our once beautiful and English Capital City to swarms of Third World immigrants; Muslim terrorists and the Councils and Quangos are staffed out by left-wing Marxists as is our Parliament.

The Reds are the only ones who feel at home in our lost Capital. Strangely, the Jewish suburbs of Golder's Green and Finchley have one of the lowest populations of non-White incomers at 7.2% - lucky them!



2017

MEMOIRS OF A STREET SOLDIER



A life in White Nationalism

By Eddy Morrison

EDITORIAL THOUGHTS.....

Welcome to what I hope will be the regular size of WHITE VOICE - eight pages. Fact packed and I hope interesting and informative. Last issue I was bemoaning the fact that I haven't got a proof reader. The reaction was most encouraging with quite a number of people offering to proof each issue. I would like to thank all who emailed me, and I now have a new proof reader who I feel will be both reliable and can make a decent read out of my word-blind ramblings.

This issue carries a three page article by Andrew Brons pointing out the problems and proposed solutions to the situation we White Nationalists operate today. The article first appeared in the bi-monthly magazine 'Heritage and Destiny' last Summer, and on re-reading it I felt that it needed the widest airing possible.

I do not agree 100% with everything Andrew puts forward but I do agree with the majority of his proposals and his deep insight into the terrible state that White Nationalism in Britain has fallen into. To be honest my own preference is for a highly centralised political party based on the leadership principle and which, although a registered party, doesn't Zombie like feel the need to contest every by-election that comes along and getting the results - 0.06% for example that shows that at the polls we are, for the moment a poor joke.

The way forward is electoral **but not just** an electoral path. It must be a Movement that USES the electoral process carefully, only standing where we can bring in boots on the ground and also our aim is not votes but members.

We are a long way off from getting 'acceptability' as a Movement that can put people in both council and parliament BUT as the social deterioration continues to disintegrate we are initially interested in two things - MEMBERS, and acquiring the material which no Movement can do without - printing facilities; a National H.Q.; regular publications and a great range of propaganda material to hit people at every level of society.



We must build a political party that has to hold its nose against the stench of involvement in the Westminster process.

ABOVE ALL WE NEED NEW, YOUNG AND ENTHUSIASTIC MEMBERS!

Yes, no issue of WHITE VOICE can go out without my attacking Facebook. In the red corner is that young Jewish champion Mark Zuckerberg worth (I've just checked) - \$74.2 BILLION. In the blue corner is a 68 year old fading White Nationalist with £13.14.6 d in the Yorkshire Penny Bank.

The prize - to stop as many people as possible from enslaving themselves to the monstrosity called Facebook.

You can start living again and stop making artificial 'friends' as well as destroying relationships with long term friends and putting off people who might have joined your Movement if they could take seriously, persons in authority using posts that stoke the discontent rather than getting themselves of Facebook. I am putting up a short article in the next few days on Nationalist Sentinel to expand on the sort of Party I think could be both successful and stay the course. (natsentinel.blogspot.co.uk)

Where Does British Nationalism Go From Here? Andrew Brons sets out the case



British Nationalism has never previously been as fragmented. There is no credible, electable, Nationalist political party. The majority of paid-up and/or active British Nationalists have disappeared from the scene and most are not even in contact with other Nationalists.

The existence of the phoney and civic United Kingdom Independence Party (UKIP) has recruited people who would otherwise have been recruited by genuine nationalist parties. Furthermore, it has misled its membership and the general public about the nature of the problems facing Britain and of the appropriate solutions. In particular, it opposes European immigration but favours Afro-Asian immigration. It (or its former leader Farage) has stated frankly that it is not an anti-immigration party but the media presents it as being just that.

The British people (and indeed the peoples of Western Europe and North America) have been facing an unprecedented propaganda campaign instilling factual errors (the Boas/Mead myths); alien values (the desirability of changing our populations forever) and also attitudes towards people and organizations that challenge their view.

Who are the propagandists? It would be satisfying simply to write them off as our political opponents – other political organizations opposed to us. However, they conduct a small part of the Propaganda War that we face. The academic world has been hijacked by the adherents of the Boas/Mead school of thought. The whole of the media is ranged against us. Furthermore, the world of business can no longer be seen simply as organizations seeking to maximise their profits. Their advertisements portray multi-racialism as the desirable norm.

The Multiplicity of Nationalist Organizations: Competition; Rivalry; and Complementarity:

The rump British National Party has shrunk to its lowest point so far. Its finances are fuelled by legacies from people who are clearly unaware of its current state. The deposing of its Chairman-for-life was simply a final blow. The National Front has undergone splits and rivalries. The multi-racialist English Democrats Party attracted some of our best people in Yorkshire but they seem now to have lost interest in it.

The fragments of the former BNP have not attracted sizeable numbers. Most former BNP members have simply disappeared into the political wilderness.

British Movement has attracted a significant number of former members in Yorkshire. However, BM is not registered as a political party and has rejected an electoral route.

The oft-stated goal of Nationalist Unity will probably always be an elusive goal. The inclusion of all Nationalists in a supposedly electable political party might be a goal that would be self-defeating. However, we might have, as a goal that various Nationalist organisations – some party, some non-party – might act in ways that are overtly or sometimes covertly complementary rather than always competitive and even hostile.

The War of Ideas – Education for Nationalists:

Whilst the electoral achievements of the British National Party in the first decade of the Millennium were impressive, there was a parallel tendency to play down ideology and to give the appearance to voters (and even members) that we were a civic nationalist party indistinguishable from UKIP. I heard an organiser of one Northern branch say to his branch members that our opposition to immigration was about “space not race”. The most disturbing aspect of this was that the Organiser in question was not himself ideologically unsound and subsequently left to join the National Front. However, he obviously felt constrained to mislead the membership about our fundamental principles.

It is necessary to educate all members in the key ideological principles of the Movement. The most important principles are that differences between peoples/races and between different individuals within the same people are more attributable to nature/heredity than they are to nurture/environment. Distinctive peoples are not simply the product of distinctive cultures; distinctive cultures are the product of distinctive peoples.

The problems of Third World immigration are not confined to: numbers; space; infrastructure; employment; and a burden on the NHS and social services. If you import the populations of the Third World, those people do not become Britons. They turn more and more parts of Britain into the Third World. If you change the people, you change the culture and the nature and continued existence of the civilization that we, too often, take for granted. These truths must be drilled into all new members and existing members. However, they must be stated in non-insulting terms and with respect to all peoples. That is particularly true when repeating those principles to the wider public. That is the right kind of moderation. Pretending to be (and even becoming) civic nationalists is the wrong kind of moderation.

The War of Ideas – in an especially palatable form for Non-Nationalists in positions of authority:

In some Nationalist circles it has become the norm to take an almost Leninist attitude towards those who are not currently with us. In particular, there is a temptation – some would see it as the repetition of self-evident truth – to write off whole professions as the enemy. These might include journalists of all kinds and at all levels; lawyers and particularly judges; police officers – especially senior ones; senior civil servants; army officers and senior local government officers.

Many of these will have been university educated in the arts or social sciences and therefore subjected to the Boas/Mead myth of people and peoples being products of nurture rather than nature. In the course of their careers they will have been under constant scrutiny and subject to continual reminders of their professional duty to follow the party line.

However, I would like to pose an alternative hypothesis. It is drawn from my experience within teaching. I would suggest that there are closet Nationalists in all professions at all levels. Sometimes their decisions will provide well-disguised clues as to their sympathies – often simply presented as old-fashioned liberalism and fairness towards a Nationalist victim of the elite. Sometimes their views will become apparent in private conversation with carefully selected colleagues. This is why police officers are placed under an obligation to inform on colleagues who betray discriminatory or racist (their words not mine) attitudes or decisions.

Many of these are consciously aware (and many more are sub-consciously aware) of the absurd assumptions on which public policy are based. These might include: the assumption that all people and peoples have the same potential ability; that no people are born with criminal tendencies; that people and peoples who fail have been deprived (a splendidly value-laden word).

If these people heard some of the most vociferous nationalists at some of our gatherings, they would not simply be confirmed in their presumptions about the true nature of nationalists. They would be appalled to find that we were infinitely worse than they could possibly have imagined!

We must find ways of communicating a nationalist-lite message to these people – either at their places of work or by communication in professional areas of towns. This would not be an exact (reverse) mirror image of the Boas/Mead school that everything is attributable to nature and nothing to nurture. It would simply pose a hypothesis that perhaps nature has been dismissed too readily and too completely. It would need to be communicated from an as-yet-to-established body with an anonymous true identity.

The War of Ideas - for the general public:

There is a tendency – especially during election campaigns – to emphasise the pragmatic rather than the principled justifications for our policies. This is necessary, simply to maximise the appeal of our policies. Immigration is therefore portrayed as a threat to jobs, housing and social services. However, we must also – at least in the small print during elections and in the headlines during non-election periods – make reference to essential principles. The foremost amongst these is that immigration of Third World peoples will turn parts of Britain (and the rest of Europe) into the Third World.

The Wars of Image, Limitations on Acceptability of Behaviour, Ideas and Language – in forms that will be understood and responded to favourably by the general public:

The establishment does not simply provide substantive misinformation to the public and, most of all, to its own auxiliaries. It conveys harmful images that persuade or compel people to engage in self-censorship and self-constraint – not only of word and deed but also of thought. This was given the wonderfully insightful label of crime-stop by George Orwell.

Foremost among the mind-controlling words is the word racist – invented by Trotsky in his History of the Soviet Union, published in 1930. This has the virtue of having so many distinct, official and unofficial popular meanings.

In sociological dictionaries it would include all who believe (i.e. acknowledge) that there are indelible differences between modal and mean characteristics and abilities of racial groups. That is, in other words, anybody who believes the truth!

In the popular imagination, it means people who hate other racial groups and wish to do them mischief – perhaps lethal mischief. This has the observed result that whenever a patron of a four-ale bar finds himself (or herself) telling the truth about immigration, that person will stop himself mid-sentence and chant the inane sentence, as though from a catechism: “Mind you, I’m not a racist”. The repetition of those precise words is uncanny and quite sinister.

That message must be countered and the repetition of the phrase and the use of the word must be countered and ridiculed. I have sketched out a cartoon by which that might be effected. This would indicate that people deny being something of which they do not know the meaning.

The Creation of a Credible, Electable, Yet Principled, Political Party:

I shall avoid the temptation (as should others!) to say that we already have one. It is not enough for a party to have a democratic constitution and perfectly balanced policies. It must also have members. That is something that some of us sometimes brush aside as a distracting detail!

Even those who are, at best, sceptical about the unalloyed benefits of democracy (and I am not one of them), must accept that leaders with unrestricted power have not always been an asset to the Nationalist Movement. Parties with a collection of separately elected leaders can act in an anarchic way but at least the power-mad lunatics are kept under restraint.

The policies must be true to principle – nature over nurture; heredity over culture. However, they must be stated in a way that those of our people who have been subjected to decades of mind-bending propaganda, will not find offensive. That is a difficult, though not impossible, tightrope to walk.

We must all reflect on whether we are assets or liabilities as public members of that party. We must all accept that victory of the Party is the priority and that none of us is indispensable. If it is necessary, because of current errors of judgment or past associations, to withdraw to the sidelines or even further, then we must accept that fate.

There are those who say that there is no electoral path and that the Establishment will never allow us to come to power.

However, if there is no electoral path, what path is there? An armed revolt? I think not. Even if that route were morally acceptable, any revolt would be crushed in a nano-second. A spontaneous insurrection? A spontaneous yawn would be a greater likelihood.

Those who argue that the Establishment would never allow us to be elected, assume that we face one undivided and indivisible Establishment. The Political Establishment comprises our sworn enemies but also some covert friends. The wider Non-Political Establishment of officials, of various types and ranks, changes its attitude (or their attitudes) towards us according to how successful we are. Once we achieve a certain level of electoral success that Non-Political Establishment begins to act more independently.

The Role of Non-Party Educational and Propaganda Organizations:

It is in the War of Ideas that it will sometimes be necessary for the protagonists from the Nationalist side to be somewhat distanced from political Nationalists.

Those who pursue revisionism in twentieth century history should realize that connections between political nationalists and historical revisionism do no good to either cause.

The Nationalist-Lite message to people in positions of authority must certainly not be seen to have been propagated by political nationalists; they would run a mile if they suspected any connection with us. Even websites that are directed only at currently committed and active nationalists must be careful not to be connected with particular parties or non-party organizations.

Conflict Prevention and Resolution and Anger Management Within and Between Organizations:

Few of the divisions within nationalist organizations have been fuelled by differences of ideology or even strategy. Many have been caused by petty jealousies and rivalries and by personality clashes.

Believe it or not, there are members of Nationalist organizations who believe that the prize of leadership of some party or grouplet is worth an enormous struggle (not to mention the destruction of the organization being fought over). That was undoubtedly the explanation for the destruction of the BNP by Griffin and his controllers. What sane person could regard leadership of a Nationalist organization as a prize? At best it is a painful duty without reward.

The opprobrium with which we are presented to the public acts as a self-selection mechanism. Whilst most nationalists are attracted to membership and activity out of necessity, there are some who are attracted by the prospect of having a negative reputation. This tendency is found disproportionately among those with leadership ambitions.

Whilst it would be difficult to create rules regulating the recruitment of membership types, it is possible to create rules that control the ways in which members must behave.

In particular, the Constitution must prevent the party security apparatus and its disciplinary machinery being in the hands of the leadership to use as a factional tool. Both should be given a degree of independence and there should be a culture of party loyalty above factional loyalty.

Andrew Brons, Harrogate, Yorkshire

Editor's note: Andrew Brons has been active in the movement since 1964. He was chairman of the NF (1980-1984) and BNP MEP for Yorkshire and Humberside (2009-2014) and is currently chairman of the British Democratic Party.

Reprinted from the July/August 2017 Issue (#79) Heritage and Destiny magazine, with full acknowledgements. Full a sample copy of the latest issue; please write to Heritage and Destiny, 40 Birkett Drive, Preston, PR2 6HE, England, UK or www.heritageanddestiny.com

From 'ULSTER DAWN' - our new Northern Ireland Correspondent.

December of each year brings the usual merriment of overindulgence, the depleted bank account along with the "honour's list" of political and racial renegades noted for their service to our wonderful multicultural democracy, however for those of us with an interest in politics, still of interest to many here in Ulster the release of the Irish government's sealed papers there was something of a surprise relating to the current Sinn Fein President.



Gerry Adams with some of his new breed of 'Irish Nationalists'

It seems like Mr. Adams was guilty of "setting up" those terrorist colleagues who disagreed with his Stalinist style leadership, certainly Irish intelligence were of the opinion that the IRA crew who met their Waterloo at Loughgall in 1987 were betrayed by the architect of the operation, the aforementioned Mr. Adams due to the political differences Gerry Stalin had with McKearney and Lynagh who operated under the military and ideological theory of Chairman Mao. The idea of "liberated zones" devoid of either Unionists or UK justice and policing suited neither Adams nor Westminster. That Lynagh had been threatening to shoot Adams pretty much

sealed the fate of both himself and his merry men!


The SAS operation was both measured and precise, each and every terrorist on site were dispatched with what may only have been described as clinical efficiency, that they were acting on "information received" is a mathematical certainty and that no bodies of alleged informers were dumped along the Irish border confirms the opinion of both loyalists and republicans that the info was "top level"

Earlier this year Adams announced his retirement from Sinn Fein's presidency, indeed both he and his bloody and murderous deputy Martin McGuinness who died earlier in 2017 were both set to retire prior to both the UK and Irish governments December revelations, revelations which would have identified both as state assets in addition to their personal role in what amounted to war crimes.

Marxism, either the Irish or international variety thrives on intrigue, factionalism and a thirst for blood, combine all three and you've got Europe's most successfully neo-Bolshevist movement, Sinn Fein!

Ulster Dawn

LIGHTEN UP!



Israel: African migrants told to leave or face imprisonment!

The Israeli government has issued a notice for thousands of African migrants to leave the country or face imprisonment.

The migrants will be given up to \$3,500 (£2,600) for leaving within the next 90 days.

They will be given the option of going to their home country or a third country in Europe..

If they do not leave, the Israeli authorities have threatened that they will start jailing them from April.

The UN refugee agency said the controversial plan violated international and Israeli laws.

Israel's unwanted African migrants

The Israeli government says their return will be humane and "voluntary".

A spokesman for Israel's 'Population and Immigration Authority' told the BBC there were currently 38,000 "infiltrators" in Israel, of whom just 1,420 were being held in detention facilities.

Israel uses the term "infiltrators" to describe people who did not enter the country through an official border crossing.

Many of the migrants - who are mostly from Eritrea and Sudan - say they came to Israel to seek asylum after fleeing persecution and conflict, but the authorities regard them as economic migrants.

Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu has claimed that an unchecked influx of African migrants **could threaten Israel's Jewish character!!!**

For nearly a year Israel has been offering African migrants cash and the chance to go and live in what is supposed to be a safe haven in a third country - but recently a BBC has spoken to two men who say that they were abandoned as soon as they got off the plane. One was immediately trafficked, the other left to fend for himself without papers. One of the Blacks was named Adam.



Holot - looks more like a Concentration Camp than a refugee centre!

Adam was 18 when he arrived in Israel in 2011. Attackers had burned down his home in Darfur at the height of the genocide, and he had spent his teenage years in a UN refugee camp in another part of Sudan. With no prospects in the camp and no sign of an end to the conflict in Darfur, he made his way north through Egypt and the lawless Sinai peninsula to Israel. But Israel - which has approved fewer than 1% of asylum applications since it signed the UN Refugee Convention six decades ago - has not offered asylum to a single person from Sudan. It turned down Adam's application, and last October, when he went to renew the temporary permit allowing him to stay in the country, he was summoned to a detention centre known as

Holot, deep in the Negev desert.

This was no surprise for Adam. As most Sudanese and Eritreans in Israel know, it's just a matter of time before they get the call to Holot.

The government calls Holot an "open-stay centre", but it's run by the prison service and rules are strict, including a night-time curfew, which, if broken, will land you in prison proper.

It's in such an isolated area that there's very little to do and nowhere to go.

So now we know - the Jews DO NOT WANT BLACKS IN ISRAEL!

Teaching, Love, and Work: How Intact Families Preserve Societies

If all three of society's people-forming institutions fail to deliver (and they are failing more and more), then the two instrumental institutions that build societies—the marketplace, which provides for material needs, and the government, which preserves order and peace—will also deliver less and less, and the delivery will be all the harder because workers will have increasingly less capacity.

The intact married family is where the tasks of these institutions are first learned, which ensures that these institutions are maintained by the rising generation.

The child learns about the marketplace when he first sees his parents taking care of the family's material needs, earning, saving, and investing in the home and the children's education. As the child grows, he starts giving his own contributions to these material needs through his own earnings, savings, and chores. He learns about government by seeing his parents cooperate closely to foster peace and order in the family, exercising the self-control needed for a united "governing body."

But when parents divorce, children no longer learn these lessons. Working for the common good no longer exists for mother and father as a couple, and the family marketplace (income and capital) suffers very significantly, frequently pushing them into poverty. Children's experience of marriage and family is clouded by major negative experiences and feelings, which lessen their prospects of a future happy marriage and family life. They are less inclined to stay in school and their knowledge decreases or ceases. They are fed the lie of racial equality to destroy their natural patriotism.



These five tasks or institutions—family, school, marketplace, government and Race—are fully reflected in and reinforced by the flourishing married family. They are fundamental, interconnected, and irreplaceable. Any one that is weak necessarily weakens all the others, and none of them can compensate for the failing of one of the others.

History is littered with stories writ large of the damage caused when one institution tries to displace or take on the tasks of another, most especially when government and religion try to do each other's work. Though government often tries to take unto itself the work and prerogatives of some of the other institutions through the use of force (embodied in laws), it cannot fulfil a purpose for which it does not have the capacity. (Its fundamental capacity is force, its role is justice, and its object is peace - supposedly.)

The work of growing a society is much like the work of a farmer growing his crops. There are seasons and cycles: a time to sow, a time to grow, and a time to reap. He needs good seed or else his crop yields are meagre. He must also pay attention to the seasons and plan his work accordingly, for he has no control over them. Society has analogous seeds, seasons, and crops: a time to sow (marriage soon after entering the

marketplace), a time to grow in good soil (children in a married, healthy and patriotic family), and a time to reap (the celebration of young adulthood well-attained and poised to repeat the cycle).

Thus the people-forming institutions move through their generational cycles every twenty-five to thirty years or so, while the youngest generation replaces those who are aging and dying. All the while the two instrumental institutions are kept humming if they are "supplied" with productive workers for the marketplace and good citizens for the work of the commons.

Conclusion

The intact married family with children is the household that generates the productive work, income, and savings that purchase houses, food, cars, and clothing, use energy, send children to school, and save for college and weddings. It is of homes like this that the Nobel Laureate economist Gary Becker spoke when he said that "the mother at home raising her children contributes more to the economy than her husband out in the workforce." If we want a vibrant economy, we must grow the best of children, just as the farmer who wants the best crops pays close attention to the timing of the seasons, and sows the best seed in the best soil he can.

Much like the farmer who neglects the basics, the peoples of Spain, Italy, and Greece have given up on traditional family life as the core of their culture and their future, and have vied with each other over the last few decades for the lowest fertility rate in the world. The finance ministers of the European Union (and the world) do not appear to have caught on to the economy-altering implications of this change, or have planned it(!), while we in the United Kingdom are well on our way to becoming a Spain, Italy, or Greece writ large, unless we again learn the fundamental law of the seasons of society's regeneration, and the absolute necessity of the timely emergence of the young intact married family with children

The enemies of the family? Feminism; Gender 'equality politics'; the encouragement of homosexuality; the fact that women are being taken from their natural role as home makers and loving mothers in the name of 'emancipation'; the failure of White Western Man to have the courage to protect his family - to protect ALL White families!

As with the family - so with the Nation. They are both tumbling into an oblivion that will be filled by a culture where the status of the male is paramount. No surprises which one that would be!